ON APPROACHES TO PHILOSOPHY AND LAW IN CONTEXT OF GLOBALIZATION

Eva Neu 1, Michael Ch. Michailov 1, Daniele Martin 1, Renate Neu 1, Thomas Plattner 1, Tatjana Senn 1, Claude Gibault-Martin1, Manfred Holler1,2, Christoph Luetge 1,3, Michael Schratz 1,4, Germain Weber 1,5

 Inst. Umweltmedizin c/o ICSD/IAS e.V., POB 340316, 80100 Muenchen, Germany (Int.Council Sci.Develop./ Int.Acad.Sci. Berlin-Innsbruck-Muenchen-New Delhi-Paris-Sofia-Vienna)
Univ. Hamburg, Faculty Economics (Dean), Hamburg, Germany
Techn. Univ., Muenchen, Inst. Ethics (Director), Muenchen, Germany
Univ. Innsbruck, School of Education (Dean), Innsbruck, Austria
Univ. Luxembourg and Vienna, Fac. Psychology (Dean), Vienna, Austria

INTRODUCTION: All eminent philosophers (Aristoteles-Confucius-Patanjali-Platon-Socrates/Descartes-Hume-Leibniz-Rousseau-Spinoza,etc.) considered **philosophy and anthropology**, but *Kant* described firstly *physiological&pragmatic* anthropology, *also* medical philosophy. Philosophy and law have moral&scientific responsibility to support new future conceptions for better regulation of science on international level counteracting misuse of discoveries leading to disastrous situation of humanity.

Acc. to I.Kant has the human obligations to himself, other humans, under- (animals, etc.), overhuman beings. Future philosophy&law can start discussions, beginning with human - zoon logon echon and zoon politikon (Aristoteles), homo neurobiologicus (Roth, Singer), homo geneticus (Dawkins), homo oeconomicus (Kirchgässner), actually homo informaticus. Creation of global agency for philosophy of law to future international universities via network of national ones (proposed by *B.Russell*/Nobel Laureate and *G.Mensching*) could support new dimension in scientific organization.

CONCEPTION: IVR could start discussions:

1. Enlargement of leading scientific IVR-board in context of meritocratic and triumvirate principles by *a*. permanent *honorary-presidents* (3) (philosophy&law: moral-support, continuity), *presidents* (3:fixed-term),

b. Interdisciplinary board: Scientists from philosophy-law-logic (incl. informatics), psychologymedicine, etc. incl. also

c. representatives from international societies: FISP-ILA-IUPsyS-IUPS, etc.,

d. Election of some permanent (continuity) and fixed-term (flexibility) leading members.

2. Implication to congress-programme topics about general (epistemology-ethics-aesthetics) and

special philosophy (anthropological-natural-social), also theological resp. similar for law.

3. Replacement of congress/conference abstract books by *proceedings* or proper *scientific journals*, similar to other societies (IUPsyS/Int.J.Psychol. or SIU/Urology).

4. Organization of *common interdisciplinary sessions* to IVR-conferences with other societies (see 1.c.).

5. Co-operation between IVR and int. academies, such as in USA: World Acad. of Arts&Science, Germany: ICSD/Int.Acad.Sci., San-Marino: Int.Acad.Sci., also Eur.Acad.Sci., others.

6. Possibility for whole-life-working.

7. Creation of Int. Acad. for Philosophy of Law.

CONCLUSION: Realization of proposals 1.-6. could increase scientific-political authority of IVR, leading to a model for renewal of scientific organizations, beginning with philosophy of law, supporting UNO-Agenda21 for *better health-education-ecology-economy in all countries*.

Ref.&Dedication see Michailov et al. IVR 2019

Keywords: globalization, legal philosophy, experience of law, experimental legal philosophy